IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL COOPERATION GOAL PART A

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

| CCI | 2014TC16RFCB021 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Title | Interreg V-A Romania - Bulgaria |
| Version | 2017.0 |
| Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee | 17-May-2018 |

| IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT |
|---|
| 2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) |
| Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data |
| 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS |
| 3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION 7 3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) 17 TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 1.7B. 11 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 1.7B.SO1.1 12 TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 1.7C. 12 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 1.7C.SO1.2 14 TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 2.6C. 14 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.6C.SO2.1 14 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.6C.SO2.2 14 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.6C.SO2.1 16 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.5B.SO3.1 16 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.5B.SO3.1 26 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 4.8E.SO4.1 21 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.11B.SO5.1 22 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.11B.SO5.1 24 PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHTICAL ASSISTANCE 22 TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 6.TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE 22 TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.11B.SO5.1 24 PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHTICAL ASSISTANCE 22 TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS |
| |
| 5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN |
| |
| 7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) |
| 8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) |
| TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS 38 SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM 38 ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME 38 8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS 39 TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP) 40 SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM 41 |

| 9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)42 |
|--|
| 9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) |
| 1303/2013) |
| 10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/201347 |
| 10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS |
| 11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) |
| 11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME |
| 13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH |
| 14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)57 |
| DOCUMENTS |

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

In 2017, the Programme has continued its ascendant evolution, important steps had been made in the assessment, contracting and implementation processes for the projects. Thus, 90 projects were under implementation at the end of 2017, out of which 5 projects were finalized and the first results were achieved.

Payments up to 10,811,652.17 euro were made by the beneficiaries and requested to MA; 6,566,939.27 euro ERDF were certified to EC and 5,910,245 euro were reimbursed by the Commission.

Programme indicators status.

Calls launching and assessment process

In 2016 the Programme noticed that by selecting all projects with score of at least 60 points, the entire financial allocation would have been consumed, but the indicators would not have been met (jeopardizing targets in performance framework). Therefore, the Programme took action by selecting only projects of high quality (above 65 points). With the remaining financial allocation a new call was launched in early 2017 (March), this time a targeted one to the indicators in jeopardy. A two-step approach was used, and the submission was via the eMS system. 228 EoIs were submitted in the first step (deadline 15th of May) and 140 EoIs were selected by the MC for the second step (2nd of August). Out of these, 124 projects were submitted in phase 2 (deadline 23rd of October). By the end of 2017 the evaluation was ongoing. 2 projects (with high score-above 85 points) were selected in 2017 (in line with the Programme rules).

The evaluation and selection process was finalized at the beginning of the 2018. It is expected the entire budget of the call to be covered, and consequently the Programme budget leading to a high achievement of the indicators targets, except of one indicator under priority axis 1, namely I 3(based on the information provided by the EoIs selected for step 2).

Complaints

For each call, a Complaint Panel was established, comprised of three independent persons (of the evaluation process).

36 appeals were submitted (228 EoIs submitted) for phase 1 of call 3, four being fully accepted and two were partially accepted.

57 complains were submitted for call 1, hard projects (153 projects submitted), 5 were partially accepted and 1 fully accepted.

51 complaints were submitted for call 2 (234), 6 were partially accepted.

The appeals partially accepted/fully accepted complained against the budgetary reductions performed during the evaluation process, the ranking and one appeal for rejection criterion.

All the Complaint Panel decisions are published on the programme website and the Monitoring Committee was duly informed on every round of decisions.

The Programme received a limited number of appeals, considering the large number of submitted projects. For the first call-hard projects the number of appeals was higher due to the Monitoring Committee decision to contract only the projects that received over 65 points, instead of all projects above 60 points, in order to ensure the premises of reaching the Programme indicators.

Contracting and implementation process

For 64 projects financing contracts were signed in 2017. Every contracted project is presented in a constantly updated fiche (including status) on the Programme website: http://www.interregrobg.eu/en/projects/our-soft-projects.html and http://www.interregrobg.eu/en/projects/our-hard-projects.html.

90 projects were in implementation in 2017 with a total value of 122.02 mil. Euro, 5 projects were finalized (total value 2.26 mil. Euro). Out of these 90 projects, one - RoBg 19 - was terminated due to lack of own financial resources of one of the partners.

The 5 finalized projects (with codes 3, 5, 9, 11 and 13 - all projects are presented on the Programme website www.interregrobg.eu) were implemented under PA 2 and tackled the conservation and promotion of the cultural and natural heritage within the cross border area between Bulgaria and Romania, contributing to indicators such as "*Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attraction*" with 5,100 visits, creation of 12 integrated tourism products/services and of 3 common strategies / plans for valorizing the cultural and natural heritage, 7 natural sites with better conservation status and 14,045 tourists attracted to the touristic sites within the area.

The main results of the these projects are: a network of charging stations and electrical bicycles (available within the entire eligible area); 2 hot-air balloons that fly over both countries to promote the natural and cultural heritage; a travel guide setting 6 joint routes; a catalogue of protected areas; authentic tradition festivals for promoting cultural traditions; 16 Roman sites which were digitalized (and 3D printing of artefacts models which are now part of permanent exhibitions); one integrated product named

Green School Education and a Tourist Information Center in Craiova.

Technical support and simplification

In order to ensure a smooth implementation of the projects, the Programme structures have supported the beneficiaries with technical assistance by organizing, in total, 35 meetings for support of the beneficiaries.

The monitoring electronic system (eMS)

The entire implementation process at Programme and projects level is carried out in the eMS. In order to smooth the transition process to the eMS, the programme structures have drafted manuals and organized several seminars/workshops for beneficiaries on how to use the system. The third call for proposals was entirely carried out via the eMS system.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | taken to address these problems | | | | | | | | |
| PA | A well | 7 projects were under implementation (out of which 5 hard projects contracted in 2017). Out of these, 2 soft projects create joint | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | connected | mechanisms that facilitate the connection of secondary/tertiary nodes to TEN-T and E-bike infrastructure. The 2 projects were in | | | | | | | | |
| | region | an advanced stage of implementation. The outcomes of RoBg 1 are in place as a network of charging stations and electrical | | | | | | | | |
| | | bicycles is functional. The network improves the mobility of the population, the electrical bicycles can be used free of c | | | | | | | | |
| | | RoBg 2 was also in an advanced implementation, as the preliminary study and strategy on intermodal nodes' current state and | | | | | | | | |
| | | their capability to enhance the TEN-T network were under elaboration. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Out of 5 hard projects, 4 modernize road infrastructure (79.94 km). The projects were in an early stage of implementation as hard | | | | | | | | |
| | | projects including construction develop tangible results only towards the second half of the implementation, (1st half is | | | | | | | | |
| | | dedicated to drafting technical design, development of the procurement procedures). | | | | | | | | |
| | | The 5th improves the Danube navigation (8 km) by construction of a quay, improvement of a water sector and modernization of | | | | | | | | |
| | | the piers of the passenger terminal in Ruse (in early stage of implementation). | | | | | | | | |
| | | The contribution to indicators (from contracted projects) is: | | | | | | | | |
| | | I1 - 76% covered (79.94 km, out of 120) | | | | | | | | |
| | | I2 - 27% covered (8 mechanisms out of 30) | | | | | | | | |
| | | 13 - 20% covered (1 study out of 5) | | | | | | | | |
| | | I4 - 40% covered (8 km out of 20) | | | | | | | | |
| | | I7 - covered 1.83% out of 23.71% | | | | | | | | |
| | | In order to cope with this the 3rd call was launched targeting these indicators. Following the submission of EoIs, 23 projects | | | | | | | | |
| | | were selected for step 2, covering all indicators (100%), except I3. The evaluation process of the applications was ongoing. | | | | | | | | |
| PA | A green region | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | 22 projects are under implementation (including 5 finalized, 1 terminated due to lack of funds). Out of these, 5 were contracted | | | | | | | | |
| | | in 2017. | | | | | | | | |
| | | 12 projects tackle the promotion of the historical and cultural patrimony for increasing the tourist attraction (RoBg 15, 12, 16, | | | | | | | | |
| | | 13, 18, 4, 9, 128, 134, 27, 29, 19) by producing and exposing 3D models of attractive historical sites; creating audio guide; | | | | | | | | |
| | | developing GPS navigation application including touristic attraction and providing information for each of these sites; promoting | | | | | | | | |

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps |
|----|---------------|--|
| | | taken to address these problems |
| | | authentic products, etc. |
| | | 7 projects address the conservation and promotion of the natural heritage (RoBg 17, 5, 7, 6, 34, 8, 3) by identifying and promoting natural touristic sites; drafting plans for valorizing the cultural and natural heritage, creating touristic products, etc. 1 project (RoBg 14) creates and promotes a new tourism product, unique in the area – hot air balloons flights. 1 project (RoBg 10) is creating strategies and plans for management of 4 Natura 2000 sites. |
| | | 1 project (RoBg 11) address the discovery of wildlife in wet areas and Natura 2000 along the Danube by identifying the ecological problems, raising public awareness, etc. |
| | | The contribution to the indicators (from contracted projects) is: |
| | | I9 –45% covered (45 products out of 100) |
| | | 110 –40% covered (20 strategies out of 50). |
| | | I11 –135 % covered (27.056 ha out of 20.000) |
| | | Attention is given also to I11 and 14 which are covered only by 2 projects, RoBg 11 and 10. The Programme is closely monitoring these projects in order to minimize the risk and impact on the indicators. |
| | | To secure I9 and I10, the targeted 3rd call was launched. After 1st step,89 EoIs were selected covering all indicators (100%). The evaluation of 2nd step was ongoing. |
| PA | A safe region | 12 projects are under implementation. |
| 3 | A sale legion | The projects are tackling activities related to measures and prevention, management of climate related risks (flooding, storms and drought, including awareness raising, civil protection and disaster, management systems), infrastructure and risk prevention and management of non-climate related natural risks or linked to human activities (e.g. biological, radiological, chemical accidents), including awareness raising, civil protection and disaster management systems. 2 projects deal with climate risks related with flooding, 3 projects with risks related to flooding and forest fires, one project with the excessive proliferation of the insects within the eligible area, 3 projects with risks related to biological, radiological, chemical accidents and 3 projects with other risks at local community levels. Two indicators are not secured by the projects under implementation: - I 16 is covered in proportion of 55.42% - I 17 is covered in a proportion of 18%. |
| | | In order to cope with this, the 3rd call was launched targeting these indicators. Following the submission of EoIs, 28 were selected for step 2, covering all indicators in proportion of at least 100%, therefore the perspective of ensuring the target values |

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and step taken to address these problems | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | for all PA 3 indicators is very good. The evaluation process of the full applications was still ongoing at the end of 2017. | | | | | |
| PA 4 | A skilled and inclusive region | 33 projects are under implementation in 2017. For one project (RoBg 175) the contracting process was still ongoing at the end of 2017 as the project partnership has undergone through several modifications, due to lack of financial capacity of some of the project partners. The Programme has assisted this partnership through the process of partnership modification and at the end of 2017, the contracting process has made important steps towards the signing of the financial contract. The projects include actions related to the integration of the cross-border labor markets, cross-border mobility, joint local employment initiatives, information and advisory services and joint training, such us: legal mobility in the juridical field (project RoBg 162), measures for support of employment of women, youth, disadvantaged people (projects RoBg 143, 163), establishment of cross border training centers (project RoBg 147), creation of incubators for promotion of employment, labor mobility in the field of tourism, organic agriculture, construction, wine industry, local artisans). The selected and contracted applications are entirely securing the target values established at Programme level with percentages over 100%. No specific problems were encountered in relation with this PA. | | | | | |
| PA 5 | An efficient region | The 16 selected projects with financial allocation available were contracted in 2017 and started implementation at the end of the year. The projects include actions related to the promotion of legal and administrative cooperation or cooperation between citizens and institutions, such us: cooperation between health institutions, including modernization of hospitals (projects RoBg 139, 124, 160); cooperation in the educational field, including modernization / endowments for schools (projects RoBg 173, 165, 152, 188), establishment of cross border networks and mechanisms in the field of social assistance (projects RoBg 150, 193), cooperation in the field of ecotourism (project RoBg 164), cooperation for the navigation on the Danube, including harmonization of the legal framework for ship inspection (project RoBg 172), as well as cooperation in other administrative fields (projects RoBg 136, 153, 184, 197). The selected and contracted projects are entirely securing the target values established at Programme level with percentages over 100%. No specific problems were encountered in relation with this PA. | | | | | |

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps |
|----|---------------|--|
| | | taken to address these problems |
| PA | Technical | TA was used for ensuring an effective and efficient programme implementation. Thus, 8,022,303.95 euro (out of which |
| 6 | assistance | 5,214,497.60 euro ERDF) were contracted by the TA beneficiaries (MA, NA, JS and Ro FLC), out of which 1,617,031 euro |
| | | (1,051,070.15 euro ERDF) in 2017. |
| | | Also, the TA funds were used for closure activities of the 2007-2013 Romania-Bulgaria CBC Programme (ex post monitoring |
| | | visits, monitoring reports etc). Detailed information regarding the TA contracts/decisions are published on the website: |
| | | http://interregrobg.eu/en/projects/our-projects.html. |
| | | By the end of 2017, a total of 2,672,353.34 euro have been declared by the TA beneficiaries to MA. |
| | | These funds were used for ensuring the necessary support related to the programme implementation (project evaluation and |
| | | selection, monitoring activities, project implementation, FLC verifications, staff costs, applicants and beneficiaries support, |
| | | audit, communication and information activities, running of the website, etc.). |
| | | "Helpdesk" activities were carried out for the potential applicants of the 3rd call for proposals in 2017, in total 144 answers were provided by the programme structures to the received enquiries. The Q&A list is published on the website. |
| | | 7 technical assistance meetings (at the headquarters of the JS and by phone) were organized for potential applicants; 35 |
| | | technical assistance meetings for the beneficiaries of Interreg Programme and 2 technical meetings for the beneficiaries of the |
| | | RoBg Programme 2007 – 2013. |
| | | The impact of these supporting activities is identified in the high quality of the EoIs submitted under the 3rd call and the |
| | | preliminary results of the projects under the implementation. |
| | | |

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

| Priority axis | PA 1 - A well connected region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 7b - Enhancing regional mobility by connecting secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T infrastructure, including multimodal nodes |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 1.7b

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target | 2017 | Observations | | |
|-----|------|--|-------------------------|--------|-------|---|--|--|
| | | | | value | | | | |
| F | CO14 | Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads | km | 120.00 | 0.00 | The hard projects contributing to this indicator were contracted in 2017 and will actually start reporting the modernized km of roads | | |
| | | | | | | beginning with 2018. In 2017 they have started the procedures for contracting of works. The first hard projects including | | |
| | | | | | | reconstruction or upgrade of roads under this PA is foreseen to be finalized in 2019. | | |
| S | CO14 | Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads | km | 120.00 | 79.94 | The number of km will go up in 2018, after the selection of the project proposals submitted under the 3rd call. | | |
| F | 7b.1 | Number of joint mechanisms (e.g. route guidance, incidents/emergencies detection and management, | Number of mechanisms | 30.00 | 1.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. | | |
| | | studies on traffic flows, feasibility studies addressing cross-border transport issues, traffic safety measures, | facilitating connection | | | | | |
| | | black-spot maps, awareness raising activities | _ | | | | | |
| S | 7b.1 | Number of joint mechanisms (e.g. route guidance, incidents/emergencies detection and management, | Number of mechanisms | 30.00 | 8.00 | The number of mechanisms will go up in 2018, after the selection of the project proposals submitted under the 3rd call. | | |
| | | studies on traffic flows, feasibility studies addressing cross-border transport issues, traffic safety measures, | facilitating connection | | | | | |
| | | black-spot maps, awareness raising activities | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| (1 |) ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|----|------|---|-------|------|------|
| F | CO14 | Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO14 | Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads | 79.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 7b.1 | Number of joint mechanisms (e.g. route guidance, incidents/emergencies detection and management, studies on traffic flows, feasibility studies addressing cross-border transport issues, traffic safety measures, black-spot maps, awareness raising activities | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 7b.1 | Number of joint mechanisms (e.g. route guidance, incidents/emergencies detection and management, studies on traffic flows, feasibility studies addressing cross-border transport issues, traffic safety measures, black-spot maps, awareness raising activities | 8.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority axis | PA 1 - A well connected region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 7b - Enhancing regional mobility by connecting secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T infrastructure, including multimodal nodes |
| Specific objective | SO1.1 - Improve the planning, development and coordination of cross-border transport systems for better connections to TEN-T transport networks |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 1.7b.SO1.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Baseline value | Baseline year | Target value (2023) Total | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | Observations |
|----------|--|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--|
| R 1.1 | Cross-border population served by modernized infrastructure leading to TEN-T | Number | 626,140.00 | 2014 | 1,250,000.00 | | | No projects were finalized in 2017 within PA1. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 1.1 | Cross-border population served by modernized infrastructure leading to TEN-T | | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 1 - A well connected region |
|---------------|---|
| Investment | 7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, |
| priority | ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 1.7c

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target 2 | | Observations |
|-----|------|--|------------------------------|----------|------|---|
| | | | | value | | |
| F | CO16 | Inland waterways: Total length of improved or created inland waterway | km | 20.00 | 0.00 | No intermediary contribution was reported by the end of 2017. |
| S | CO16 | Inland waterways: Total length of improved or created inland waterway | km | 20.00 | 8.00 | The number of mechanisms will go up in 2018, after the selection of the project proposals submitted under the |
| | | | | | | 3rd call. |
| F | 7c.1 | Number of studies, strategies and action plans to improve safety of the navigation on the Danube and the Black Sea | Number of strategies, action | 5.00 | 0.00 | No intermediary contribution was reported by the end of 2017. |
| | | supported | plans | | | |
| S | 7c.1 | Number of studies, strategies and action plans to improve safety of the navigation on the Danube and the Black Sea | Number of strategies, action | 5.00 | 1.00 | The number of mechanisms will go up in 2018, after the selection of the project proposals submitted under the |
| | | supported | plans | | | 3rd call. |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|------|------|------|
| F | CO16 | Inland waterways: Total length of improved or created inland waterway | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO16 | Inland waterways: Total length of improved or created inland waterway | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 7c.1 | Number of studies, strategies and action plans to improve safety of the navigation on the Danube and the Black Sea supported | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 7c.1 | Number of studies, strategies and action plans to improve safety of the navigation on the Danube and the Black Sea supported | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority axis | PA 1 - A well connected region |
|---------------|---|
| Investment | 7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, |
| priority | ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility |
| Specific | SO1.2 - Increase transport safety on waterways and maritime transport routes |
| objective | |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 1.7c.SO1.2

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline | Baseline | Target value (2023) | 2017 | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|--|-------------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| | | unit | value | year | Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| R | % of the RO-BG CBC Danube length and Black Sea where safety of the | percent | 1.29 | 2014 | 25.00 | | | No projects were finalized in 2017 |
| 1.2 | navigation have been improved | | | | | | | within PA1. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 1.2 | % of the RO-BG CBC Danube length and Black Sea where safety of the navigation have been improved | | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 2 - A green region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.6c

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | | Target | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|------|--|-----------------------|--------|-----------|------------|--|
| | | | | | value | | |
| F | | Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions | | | 10,000.00 | - | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. |
| S | CO09 | Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and | Visits/year | | 10,000.00 | 120,030.00 | The initial value included in 2016 was 159,730.00. The value was reduced as one project was terminated. Also, initially, within |
| | | natural heritage and attractions | - | | | - | this value it was also included the contribution of the projects selected without financial allocation available. Thus, their |
| | | | | | | | contribution was eliminated accordingly. |
| F | 6c.1 | Number of integrated tourism products/services created | Number | | 100.00 | 20.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. |
| S | 6c.1 | Number of integrated tourism products/services created | Number | | 100.00 | 50.00 | The value included in 2016 (105) was adjusted as one project contributing to this indicator was terminated. Also, initially, |
| | | | | | | | within this value it was also included the contribution of the projects selected without financial allocation available. Thus, their |
| | | | | | | | contribution was eliminated accordingly. |
| F | 6c.2 | | | common | 50.00 | 6.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. |
| | | awareness) the cultural and natural heritage through its restoration and promotion for | strategies/management | plans | | | |
| | | sustainable economic uses | approved | | | | |
| S | 6c.2 | Number of common strategies, policies or management plans for valorising (including raising | | common | 50.00 | 35.00 | The value included in 2016 (38) was reduced as one project was terminated. |
| | | awareness) the cultural and natural heritage through its restoration and promotion for | strategies/management | plans | | | |
| | | sustainable economic uses | approved | | | | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|------------|------------|------|
| F | CO09 | Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO09 | Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions | 120,030.00 | 100,630.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 6c.1 | Number of integrated tourism products/services created | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 6c.1 | Number of integrated tourism products/services created | 50.00 | 41.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 6c.2 | Number of common strategies, policies or management plans for valorising (including raising awareness) the cultural and natural heritage through its restoration and promotion for sustainable economic uses | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 6c.2 | Number of common strategies, policies or management plans for valorising (including raising awareness) the cultural and natural heritage through its restoration and promotion for sustainable economic uses | 35.00 | 19.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority axis | PA 2 - A green region |
|---------------------|--|
| Investment priority | 6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage |
| Specific objective | SO2.1 - To improve the sustainable use of natural heritage and resources and cultural heritage |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.6c.SO2.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Baseline | Baseline | Target value (2023) | 2017 | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|---|------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|-------|-------------|---|
| | | | value | year | Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| R | Number of tourist overnights in the CBC | Number of | 6,668,515.00 | 2013 | 7,200,000.00 | | | To be measured end of 2018 and end of the |
| 2.1 | region | Overnights | | | | | | Programme. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 2.1 | Number of tourist overnights in the CBC region | | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 2 - A green region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.6d

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|------|--|------------------|--------------|-----------|--|
| F | CO23 | Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status | Hectares | 20,000.00 | 12.50 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. |
| S | CO23 | Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status | Hectares | 20,000.00 | 27,056.00 | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|-----------|-----------|------|
| F | CO23 | Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO23 | Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status | 27,056.00 | 27,056.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority axis | PA 2 - A green region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure |
| Specific objective | SO2.2 - To enhance the sustainable management of the ecosystems from the cross-border area |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.6d.SO2.2

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline | Baseline | Target value (2023) | 2017 | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|---|-------------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | | unit | value | year | Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| R | NATURA 2000 sites from the cross-border area with coordinated | Number | 2.00 | 2014 | 10.00 | | | No projects were finalized in |
| 2.2 | management tools | | | | | | | 2017. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 2.2 | NATURA 2000 sites from the cross-border area with coordinated management tools | | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 3 - A safe region |
|---------------------|--|
| Investment priority | 5b - Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.5b

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|------|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| F | CO20 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures | Persons | 1,250,000.00 | 0.00 | No information provided by the beneficiaries in the progress reports. |
| S | CO20 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures | Persons | 1,250,000.00 | 1,486,375.00 | |
| F | CO21 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures | Persons | 1,250,000.00 | 0.00 | No information provided by the beneficiaries in the progress reports. |
| S | CO21 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures | Persons | 1,250,000.00 | 540,006.00 | |
| F | 5b.1 | Population benefiting from actions of risk management | Number | 2,500,000.00 | 6,018.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. |
| S | 5b.1 | Population benefiting from actions of risk management | Number | 2,500,000.00 | 4,770,000.00 | The initial value included in 2016 and 2015 was adjusted. For further details, please see section 5.a. |
| F | 5b.2 | Number of joint partnerships in the field of joint early warning and emergency response | Number | 50.00 | 0.00 | No information provided by the beneficiaries in the progress reports. |
| S | 5b.2 | Number of joint partnerships in the field of joint early warning and emergency response | Number | 50.00 | 9.00 | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|--------------|--------------|------|
| F | CO20 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO20 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures | 1,486,375.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | CO21 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO21 | Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures | 540,006.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 5b.1 | Population benefiting from actions of risk management | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5b.1 | Population benefiting from actions of risk management | 4,770,000.00 | 4,770,000.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 5b.2 | Number of joint partnerships in the field of joint early warning and emergency response | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5b.2 | Number of joint partnerships in the field of joint early warning and emergency response | 9.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority axis | PA 3 - A safe region |
|---------------------|--|
| Investment priority | 5b - Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems |
| Specific objective | SO3.1 - To improve joint risk management in the cross-border area |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.5b.SO3.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Baseline | Baseline | Target value (2023) | Carget value (2023) 2017 | | Observations |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | | | value | year | Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| R | The quality of the joint risk management in | the satisfaction degree of the | 2 | 2014 | 3 | | | To be measured end of 2018 and end of the |
| 3.1 | the CBC area | stakeholders in the area | | | | | | Programme. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 3.1 | The quality of the joint risk management in the CBC area | | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 4 - A skilled and inclusive region |
|---------------|--|
| Investment | 8e - Integrating cross-border labour markets, including cross-border mobility, joint local employment initiatives, information and advisory services and joint |
| priority | training (ETC-CB) |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 4.8e

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement | Target | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | unit | value | | |
| F | CO44 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training | Persons | 10,000.00 | 116.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the |
| | | | | | | programme structures. |
| S | CO44 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training | Persons | 10,000.00 | 55,426.00 | The estimated value included in 2016 (55,600) was decreased as one project |
| | | | | | | renounced at financing. |
| F | CO46 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher | Persons | 2,000.00 | 0.00 | No information provided by the beneficiaries in the progress reports. |
| | | and vocational education across borders | | | | |
| S | CO46 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher | Persons | 2,000.00 | 9,791.00 | |
| | | and vocational education across borders | | | | |
| F | 8e.1 | No. of initiatives (trainings, education schemes, websites, agreements, networks, job-fairs etc.) that activate workforce mobility in the cross border area | Number | 50.00 | 6.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the |
| | | | | | | programme structures. |
| S | 8e.1 | No. of initiatives (trainings, education schemes, websites, agreements, networks, job-fairs etc.) that activate workforce mobility in the cross border area | Number | 50.00 | 374.00 | The estimated value included in 2016 (465) was decreased as one project |
| | | | | | | renounced at financing. |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|-----------|------|------|
| F | CO44 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO44 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training | 55,426.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | CO46 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO46 | Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders | 9,701.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 8e.1 | No. of initiatives (trainings, education schemes, websites, agreements, networks, job-fairs etc.) that activate workforce mobility in the cross border area | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 8e.1 | No. of initiatives (trainings, education schemes, websites, agreements, networks, job-fairs etc.) that activate workforce mobility in the cross border area | 374.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 4 - A skilled and inclusive region |
|--------------------|--|
| Investment | 8e - Integrating cross-border labour markets, including cross-border mobility, joint local employment initiatives, information and advisory services and joint |
| priority | training (ETC-CB) |
| Specific objective | SO4.1 - To encourage the integration of the cross-border area in terms of employment and labour mobility |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 4.8e.SO4.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Baseline value | Baseline year | Target value (2023) Total | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | Observations |
|-------|---|------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| R 4.1 | Population that have access to joint employment initiatives | Number | 200,000.00 | 2014 | 500,000.00 | | | No projects finalized yet. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|---|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 4.1 | Population that have access to joint employment initiatives | | | | | | |

| Priority axis | PA 5 - An efficient region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 11b - Promoting legal and administrative cooperation and cooperation between citizens and institutions (ETC-CB) |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 5.11b

| (| I) II | D | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2017 | Observations |
|---|-------|---|--|---------------------|-----------------|--------|--|
| F | 11 | | Number of supported cross border mechanisms (agreements, networks, regulations, studies, policies, strategies, information exchange tools) to enhance cooperation capacity | | 100.00 | 1.00 | From the progress reports submitted by beneficiaries and accepted by the programme structures. |
| s | 11 | | Number of supported cross border mechanisms (agreements, networks, regulations, studies, policies, strategies, information exchange tools) to enhance cooperation capacity | Number | 100.00 | 133.00 | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|-------|--|--------|------|------|
| F | 11b.1 | Number of supported cross border mechanisms (agreements, networks, regulations, studies, policies, strategies, information exchange tools) to enhance cooperation capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 11b.1 | Number of supported cross border mechanisms (agreements, networks, regulations, studies, policies, strategies, information exchange tools) to enhance cooperation capacity | 133.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority axis | PA 5 - An efficient region |
|---------------------|---|
| Investment priority | 11b - Promoting legal and administrative cooperation and cooperation between citizens and institutions (ETC-CB) |
| Specific objective | SO5.1 - To increase cooperation capacity and the efficiency of public institutions in a CBC context |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 5.11b.SO5.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Baseline value | Baseline year | Target value (2023) Total | 2017 Total | 2017 Oualitative | Observations |
|-----|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| - | | | | 2 | (2023) 10tal | 10141 | Quantative | T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| R | Level of co-ordination of the public | Intensity of cooperation between cross- | 3 | 2014 | 4 | | | To be measured end of 2018 and end of |
| 5.1 | institutions in the eligible area | border stakeholders | | | | | | the Programme. |

| ID | Indicator | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| R 5.1 | Level of co-ordination of the public institutions in the eligible area | | | | | | |

Priority axes for technical assistance

| Priority axis PA 6 - Technical assistance | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 6. Technical assistance

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2017 | Observations |
|-----|-------|---|------------------|--------------|-------|---|
| F | TA6.1 | No of performed evaluations of the programme | Number | | 0.00 | |
| S | TA6.1 | No of performed evaluations of the programme | Number | | 0.00 | |
| F | TA6.2 | Functional Pro ETC | Number | | 1.00 | |
| S | | Functional Pro ETC | Number | | 0.00 | |
| F | TA6.3 | No of Monitoring Committee meetings | Number | | 9.00 | 3 Monitoring Committee meetings were organized during 2017. The total number of the organized MC meetings for the Interreg V-A Ro-Bg Programme is |
| | | | | | | 9. |
| S | TA6.3 | No of Monitoring Committee meetings | Number | | 0.00 | |
| F | TA6.4 | No of events for beneficiaries | Number | | 49.00 | 19 events were organized in 2017 |
| S | TA6.4 | No of events for beneficiaries | Number | | 0.00 | |
| F | TA6.5 | Number of employees (full time) whose salaries are co-financed by technical | number | | 57.00 | |
| | | assistance | | | | |
| S | TA6.5 | Number of employees (full time) whose salaries are co-financed by technical | number | | 0.00 | |
| | | assistance | | | | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|-------|--|-------|-------|------|
| F | TA6.1 | No of performed evaluations of the programme | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | TA6.1 | No of performed evaluations of the programme | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | TA6.2 | Functional Pro ETC | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | TA6.2 | Functional Pro ETC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | TA6.3 | No of Monitoring Committee meetings | 6.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 |
| S | TA6.3 | No of Monitoring Committee meetings | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | TA6.4 | No of events for beneficiaries | 30.00 | 16.00 | 0.00 |
| S | TA6.4 | No of events for beneficiaries | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | TA6.5 | Number of employees (full time) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance | 52.00 | 39.00 | 0.00 |
| S | TA6.5 | Number of employees (full time) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority | Ind | ID | Indicator | Measurement | Milestone for 2018 | Final target | 2017 | Observations |
|----------|------|-------|--|--------------|--|---------------|--------------|--|
| axis | type | | | unit | total | (2023) total | | |
| PA 1 | 0 | CO14 | Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads | km | Km of reconstructed or upgraded roads | 120.00 | 0.00 | |
| PA 1 | F | 1F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 8000000 | 96,450,936.00 | 607,924.86 | The amount included in 2016 was updated including the total certified value (initially ERDF amount was reported). The amount included in 2017 is cumulative. |
| PA 1 | Ι | 1K1 | Number of KM of roads to be upgraded or reconstructed for which tenders have been launched | Number of KM | 60 | 0.00 | 15.01 | |
| PA 2 | 0 | CO09 | Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions | Visits/year | 1000 | 10,000.00 | 7,600.00 | |
| PA 2 | F | 2F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 5100000 | 63,454,564.00 | 4,476,584.44 | The amount included in 2016 was updated including the total certified value (initially ERDF amount was reported). The amount included in 2017 is cumulative. |
| PA 2 | 0 | 6c.1 | Number of integrated tourism products/services created | Number | 10 | 100.00 | 20.00 | |
| PA 3 | F | 3F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 4500000 | 48,225,468.00 | 641,618.70 | The amount included in 2016 was updated including the total certified value (initially ERDF amount was reported). The amount included in 2017 is cumulative. |
| PA 3 | 0 | 5b.2 | Number of joint partnerships in the field of joint early warning and emergency response | Number | 10 | 50.00 | 0.00 | |
| PA 4 | F | 4F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 1000000 | 17,767,279.00 | 278,181.51 | |
| PA 4 | 0 | 8e.1 | No. of initiatives (trainings, education schemes, websites, agreements, networks, job-fairs etc.) that activate workforce mobility in the cross border area | Number | 10 | 50.00 | 6.00 | |
| PA 5 | F | 5F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 1000000 | 12,690,913.00 | 44,981.41 | |
| PA 5 | 0 | 11b.1 | Number of supported cross border mechanisms (agreements, networks, regulations, studies, policies, strategies, information exchange tools) to enhance cooperation capacity | Number | 10 | 100.00 | 1.00 | |

| Priority | Ind | ID | Indicator | Measurement | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|----------|------|------|--|--------------|------------|------|------|
| axis | type | | | unit | | | |
| PA 1 | 0 | CO14 | Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads | km | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 1 | F | 1F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 69,370.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 1 | Ι | 1K1 | Number of KM of roads to be upgraded or reconstructed for which tenders have been launched | Number of KM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 2 | 0 | CO09 | Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions | Visits/year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 2 | F | 2F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 997,046.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 2 | 0 | 6c.1 | Number of integrated tourism products/services created | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | F | 3F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 121,431.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | 0 | 5b.2 | Number of joint partnerships in the field of joint early warning and emergency response | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Priority | Ind | ID | Indicator | Measurement | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|----------|------|-------|---|-------------|------|------|------|
| axis | type | | | unit | | | |
| PA 4 | F | 4F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 4 | 0 | 8e.1 | No. of initiatives (trainings, education schemes, websites, agreements, networks, job-fairs etc.) that activate workforce mobility in the cross | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | border area | | | | |
| PA 5 | F | 5F1 | (Certified) Expenditure | EUR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 5 | 0 | 11b.1 | Number of supported cross border mechanisms (agreements, networks, regulations, studies, policies, strategies, information exchange tools) | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | to enhance cooperation capacity | | | | |

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

| Priority axis | Fund | Calculation basis | Total funding | Co- financing | Total eligible cost of operations selected for | Proportion of the total allocation covered with | Public eligible cost of operations selected for | Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to | Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure | Number of operations |
|------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|---|---|--|--|--|----------------------|
| axis | | Dasis | | | | | • | 5 | declared by beneficiaries | |
| | | | | rate | support | selected operations | support | the managing authority | declared by beneficiaries | selected |
| PA 1 | ERDF | Total | 96,450,936.00 | 85.00 | 37,169,354.98 | 38.54% | 36,425,967.88 | 965,677.95 | 1.00% | 7 |
| PA 2 | ERDF | Total | 63,454,564.00 | 85.00 | 29,275,556.79 | 46.14% | 28,690,045.59 | 5,235,114.08 | 8.25% | 23 |
| PA 3 | ERDF | Total | 48,225,468.00 | 85.00 | 33,454,521.27 | 69.37% | 32,785,465.83 | 965,009.03 | 2.00% | 13 |
| PA 4 | ERDF | Total | 17,767,279.00 | 85.00 | 17,410,032.96 | 97.99% | 17,061,832.33 | 873,041.61 | 4.91% | 34 |
| PA 5 | ERDF | Total | 12,690,913.00 | 85.00 | 12,274,317.19 | 96.72% | 12,028,830.88 | 100,456.16 | 0.79% | 16 |
| PA 6 | ERDF | Total | 19,914,966.00 | 65.00 | 8,022,303.95 | 40.28% | 8,022,303.95 | 2,672,353.34 | 13.42% | 12 |
| Total | ERDF | | 258,504,126.00 | 83.46 | 137,606,087.14 | 53.23% | 135,014,446.46 | 10,811,652.17 | 4.18% | 105 |
| Grand | | | 258,504,126.00 | 83.46 | 137,606,087.14 | 53.23% | 135,014,446.46 | 10,811,652.17 | 4.18% | 105 |
| total | | | | | | | | | | |

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

NA

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

| 1. | 2. The amount of ERDF | 3. Share of the total financial | 4. Eligible expenditure of | 5. Share of the total financial |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Operation | support(1) envisaged to be | allocation to all or part of an | ERDF support incurred in all | allocation to all or part of an |
| (2) | used for all or part of an | operation located outside the | or part of an operation | operation located outside the |
| | operation implemented | Union part of the programme | implemented outside the | Union part of the programme |
| | outside the Union part of the | area (%) (column 2/total | Union part of the programme | area (%) (column 4/total |
| | programme area based on | amount allocated to the support | area declared by the | amount allocated to the support |
| | selected operations | from the ERDF at programme | beneficiary to the managing | from the ERDF at programme |
| | | level *100) | authority | level *100) |
| 19 | 1,753,547.00 | 0.81% | 130,352.00 | 0.06% |

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

No programme evaluations were carried out in 2017. We expect to launch in 2018 the public procurement procedure for contracting the external expertise for the Programme impact evaluation.

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken In 2016, after the JS finalized the evaluation, the Programme bodies identified a problem: if all projects (under first 3 priority axes) with minimum points (60) were to be selected, the financial allocation would have been consumed while the indicators would not have been reached.

During the MC meeting on 4th of August 2016 the problem was presented, but a decision was not taken. Negotiations on the proposed by MA alternatives continued several months with the support of the European Commission. In November a common decision was reached, namely: projects with score of above 65 points to be selected and with the rest of the available budget a new call to be launched.

Moreover, the financial targets in the performance framework were set, according to EC recommendations, at minimum equal to the decommitment targets, which are pre-set according to the MFF. The Programme did not face decommitment and at the moment no such risk is identified, since pre-financial (initial and annual) is taken into account. However, it is not taken into account in the performance framework, and thus these financial indicators face a risk, also factoring in the abovementioned delay. The Programme has the particularity of being one of the largest in the Interreg world, with a rather underdeveloped eligible area which still needs serious investments into public infrastructure. This issues can be easily tackled via hard projects, which the Programme finances. Even if the Programme took all the actions possible (immediate and very early launch of the entire financial allocation for the first 3 PAs-among the first in Europe to launch), hard projects will still need time to obtain results and spend resources.

For the indicators not met after the 2016 selection, the Programme also took immediate action by launching a new call, targeted to these indicators, in March 2017 (two-step process). The call run via the eMS system.

228 expressions of interest were submitted in step 1 (deadline 15th of June). 140 were selected for step 2. 124 submitted full application forms (deadline 23rd of October).

As another measure to tackle the abovementioned risks, the Programme ensured a fast lane for the very high quality projects (+85 points). By the end of 2017, 2 projects (2.4 mil. euro total budget, out of which 2.1 mil. euro – ERDF) were selected. The rest were under evaluation at the end of 2017.

The indicators for PAs 3, 4 and 5 are also closely monitored, particularly PA 3 and PA 5. However, under these axes there have been better premises (a bigger number of projects, advanced status of project implementation, time schedules which confirm that the targets will be met in due time).

Another horizontal problem the Programme faced was withdrawal of Bulgarian public

district administrations from the projects.

According to the Bulgarian Council of Ministers decision Ref.No P-56/24.03.2016, all second degree depositors (including district administrations), have to request and get the agreement of the Council of Ministers to participate in EU financed projects, in order to obtain the necessary pre-financing.

Although the projects in the first call were selected before entering into force of the above mentioned Governmental act (30.05.2015 and 30.09.2015) for some of the affected projects, contracts were not concluded and projects faced problems in their implementation. The MA contacted the Bulgarian authorities and the issue was discussed at the MC meeting which took place in August 2017, but the CoM Decision remained mandatory for the respective Bulgarian projects partners.

As a solution, the Programme has made available for the beneficiaries a clear procedure for modification of the partnerships and the beneficiaries have used this instruments to replace the affected partners in order to continue the project implementation. During 2017 six projects changed their partnerships, all of them going through a verification procedure of the new partnership and consequently through a Monitoring Committee procedure for approval.

Another issue which the Programme faced in 2017 was the possible overestimation of some indicators by the beneficiaries.

For example, in PA 3 project RoBg 22 estimated a contribution of 4.770.000 persons (entire population of the Ro-Bg area) to the output indicator: "*Population benefiting from actions of risk management*". The project is proposing soft measures for realization of a preliminary study of the conditions in the target area and to identify the risk zones over the risks related with proliferation of insects. The project envisages development of a study of the best practices in the control over insect population in conditions close to the CBC area, producing a GIS of the treated territories, elaboration of a strategy to ensure sustainable practice of insect treatment and organizational measures and creation of joint partnerships of early warning and of disinsection in the CBC area). The project partners foresee that the entire population of the CBC area will benefit from the project activities, which is overestimated. The same issues was observed in several other projects. As in 2007-2013 period, the Programme is closely monitoring the project implementation and make sure that the achievement of the Programme indicators is realistic.

The action the Programme took after identifying this issue in the soft projects – call 1 was to perform in depth, parallel analysis of the situation of reaching the indicators, which analysis was presented to the Monitoring Committee for both selection of call 1-hard projects and call 2. Also, during the entire evaluation process of call 3 the process was closely monitored by the programme structures, as clarifications were sent to the beneficiaries in order the overestimated achievement of some of the indicators to be revised. In other cases the assessors took actions and revised the indicators on their own (eg. In cases when actions were confused with outputs).

EN

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

-

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

| Projec | t CC | Status | Total | Total | Planned | Date of tacit | Planned start of | Planned | Priority | Current state | Current state of | Main | Date of | Observations |
|--------|------|--------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| - | | of MP | investments | eligible | notification/submission | agreement/ | implementation | completion | Axis/ | of realisation | realisation — | outputs | signature | |
| | | | | costs | date | approval by | | date | Investment | | physical progress | | of first | |
| | | | | | | Commission | | | priorities | progress (% of | Main | | works | |
| | | | | | | | | | | expenditure | implementation | | contract | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | stage of the | | (1) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Commission | project | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | compared to | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | total eligible | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | cost) | | | | |

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

NA

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

| Title of the | CCI Stage of impleme | ntation of Total eligit | ble Total | public OP contributi | on to Priority | Туре | of [Planned] submission | o the [Planned] start | of [Planned] | Main outputs an | d Total eligible expenditure certified to the Observa | tions |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------|------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| JAP | JAP | costs | support | JAP | axis | JAP | Commission | implementation | completion | results | Commission | |

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

NA

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

| Priority axis | PA 1 - A well connected region | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| [| | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Priority axis | PA 2 - A green region | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Priority axis | PA 3 - A safe region | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Priority axis | PA 4 - A skilled and inclusive region | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Priority axis | PA 5 - An efficient region | |
| | 1 A 5 - All efficient region | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| Priority axis | PA 6 - Technical assistance | |
| Γ | | 1 |
| | | |

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote nondiscrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and nondiscrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

| Amount of support to be used for climate | Proportion of total allocation to the |
|--|---|
| change objectives (EUR) | operational programme (%) |
| 3,243,876.91 | 3.96% |
| 687,822.07 | 1.28% |
| 18,749,866.63 | 45.74% |
| 22,681,565.61 | 10.51% |
| | change objectives (EUR) 3,243,876.91 687,822.07 18,749,866.63 |

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013

10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

A total number of 88 projects that contribute to the implementation of EUSDR objectives, with an approx. value of 106,576,127.84 million Euro representing ERDF funds, were selected for financing. During evaluation process, 24 projects out of 88 projects selected have received extra points for major contribution on EUSDR. At the end of 2017, 86 projects were under implementation, 2 projects were under contracting.

The five projects that have finalized the implementation during 2017 have actually contributed to EUSDR objectives, manly to Priority Area 03 "To promote culture and tourism, people to people contacts" with actions related with the common natural and historical heritage in the eligible area, along the Danube river and, additionally to Priority Area 06 "To preserve biodiversity, landscapes and the quality of air and soils" with actions related with the education for environment protection

- □ EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- ☑ EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- □ EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- □ EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- □ Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:

| | Pillar | Priority area | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| \square | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.1 - Mobility - waterways | | | |
| \square | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air | | | |
| | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.3 - Energy | | | |
| \square | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.4 - Culture and tourism | | | |
| \square | 2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube | 2.1 - Water quality | | | |
| | region | | | | |
| \square | 2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube | 2.2 - Environmental risks | | | |
| | region | | | | |
| \square | 2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube | 2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil | | | |
| | region | quality | | | |
| $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | 3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region | 3.1 - Knowledge society | | | |
| \square | 3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region | 3.2 - Competitiveness | | | |
| \square | 3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region | 3.3 - People & skills | | | |
| \square | 4 - Strengthening the Danube region | 4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation | | | |
| \square | 4 - Strengthening the Danube region | 4.2 - Security | | | |

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes ☑ No □

Name and function

Ministry of Foreign Affairs as National Coordinator of EUSDR

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?

Yes 🗹 No 🗆

a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR

Yes 🛛 No 🗹

b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)

88

c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

24 projects out of 88 projects selected have received extra points for major contribution on EUSDR.

d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

n.a.

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?

Yes ☑ No □

Approximate or exact amount in Euro invested in the EUSDR:

| ERDF | 106,576,127.84 |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| CF | |
| ESF | |
| EAFRD | |
| EMFF | |
| ENI | |
| IPA | |
| any other funds | |
| name of "any other funds" | |

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)

The five projects that have finalized the implementation during 2017 have actually contributed to EUSDR objectives, manly to Priority Area 03 "To promote culture and tourism, people to people contacts" with actions related with the common natural and historical heritage in the eligible area, along the Danube river

and, additionally to Priority Area 06 "To preserve biodiversity, landscapes and the quality of air and soils" with actions related with the education for environment protection.

E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

Documents

| Document title | Document type | Document date | Local reference | Commission reference | Files | Sent date | Sent By |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|----------|
| Summary AIR 2017 | Citizens' summary | 08-May-2018 | | Ares(2018)2613486 | Summary AIR 2017 | 21-May-2018 | nheriuli |

Latest validation results

| Severity | Code | Message |
|----------|--------|--|
| Info | | Implementation report version has been validated |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7b, specific objective: SO1.1, indicator: R 1.1, year: 2014 (null < 626,140.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7b, specific objective: SO1.1, indicator: R 1.1, year: 2015 (null < 626,140.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7b, specific objective: SO1.1, indicator: R 1.1, year: 2016 (null < 626,140.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7b, specific objective: SO1.1, indicator: R 1.1, year: 2017 (null < 626,140.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: SO1.2, indicator: R 1.2, year: 2014 (null < 1.29). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: SO1.2, indicator: R 1.2, year: 2015 (null < 1.29). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: SO1.2, indicator: R 1.2, year: 2016 (null < 1.29). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: SO1.2, indicator: R 1.2, year: 2017 (null < 1.29). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: SO2.1, indicator: R 2.1, year: 2014 (null < 6,668,515.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: SO2.1, indicator: R 2.1, year: 2015 (null < 6,668,515.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: SO2.1, indicator: R 2.1, year: 2016 (null < 6,668,515.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: SO2.1, indicator: R 2.1, year: 2017 (null < 6,668,515.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: SO2.2, indicator: R 2.2, year: 2014 (null < 2.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: SO2.2, indicator: R 2.2, year: 2015 (null < 2.00). Please check. |

| Severity | Code | Message |
|----------|--------|--|
| Warning | | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: SO2.2, indicator: R 2.2, year: 2016 (null < 2.00). Please check. |
| Warning | | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: SO2.2, indicator: R 2.2, year: 2017 (null < 2.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, specific objective: SO4.1, indicator: R 4.1, year: 2014 (null < 200,000.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, specific objective: SO4.1, indicator: R 4.1, year: 2015 (null < 200,000.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, specific objective: SO4.1, indicator: R 4.1, year: 2016 (null < 200,000.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, specific objective: SO4.1, indicator: R 4.1, year: 2017 (null < 200,000.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,006.30% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: CO09, year: 2015. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,200.30% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: CO09, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,200.30% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: CO09, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 118.91% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 5b, indicator: CO20, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 118.91% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 5b, indicator: CO20, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 133.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: 11b, indicator: 11b.1, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 133.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: 11b, indicator: 11b.1, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 135.28% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: CO23, year: 2015. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 135.28% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: CO23, year: 2016. Please check. |

| Severity | Code | Message |
|----------|--------|---|
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 135.28% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: CO23, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 190.80% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 5b, indicator: 5b.1, year: 2015. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 190.80% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 5b, indicator: 5b.1, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 190.80% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 5b, indicator: 5b.1, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 485.05% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, indicator: CO46, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 489.55% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, indicator: CO46, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 554.26% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, indicator: CO44, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 554.26% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, indicator: CO44, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 748.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, indicator: 8e.1, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 748.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 8e, indicator: 8e.1, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.2, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.2, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.3, year: 2015. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.3, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.3, year: 2017. Please check. |

| Severity | Code | Message |
|----------|--------|--|
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for |
| | | priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.4, year: 2015. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for |
| | | priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.4, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for |
| | | priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.4, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for |
| | | priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.5, year: 2015. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for |
| | | priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.5, year: 2016. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for |
| | | priority axis: PA 6, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6.5, year: 2017. Please check. |